

Summary

Date: March 12, 2019, (9:00 – 10:00 am)
Location: UDI Boardroom UDI #324, 10113 104 St
Subject: UDI Infrastructure Committee Meeting with Drainage

Attendees:

Wade Zwicker	Shaun Andreas
Andrew Kowalchyk	Larry Semeniuk
Richard Demers	Ryan Tomas
Glen W. Thoman	Deanna Kwong
Jon Heisler	Sean Novak
Sherry Baik Away– Paul Macdonald	
Jason Babichuk Away – Derek Magosse	

COE: Mikaela Hanley
EPCOR: David Mathew, Fernando Sacluti

Regrets: Otto Hedges, Richard Brown, Tannis Wisheu, William Shalewa

Highlighted Blue are *****ACTION ITEMS*****

1. Erosion Sediment Control Ad Hoc Committee

Glen - Meeting in April with UDI members first and then go back to David and have a meeting. William Shalewa is no longer with that division.

Consideration should be given to removing CB Socks at a certain date in the fall as current practice results in them being frozen in place resulting in very expensive replacement. However, ESC inspections should continue until freeze up.

2. Storm Water Management Facility Sizing Ad Hoc Committee

Ryan & Fernando – have not met since last year

Need to meet in the near future to discuss the existing and new facilities and then determine the over all schedules.

There are discrepancies

3. Sanitary Sewage Generation and Pipe Velocity Ad Hoc Committee

Fernando – we have not gotten together since late last fall. They have recognized there is a concern and we would like to **move forward sooner than later** on the concern to come to some resolution.

4. Review of Storm Water Management restrictions to Green Field Commercial development

Wade - Concern with this is sometimes plans change and how do you manage the flow.

Ensure before we move forward with any actions we ensure all parties and all considerations are taken into places - not only for existing plans, but for possibilities on future changes to plans as well.

Concerns EG. A rejection of a proposal to store flows in excess of 70l/s/ha onsite which would have minimal impact on the commercial site design but significant savings to the storm system. From a sustainability perspective it does not make sense to not allow this.

Additions:

Review last meeting summary

In hand – edits to be emailed to Deanna if required

EPCOR CCTV

Concerns about the quality of the recordings

They are not taking the video from the correct heights

Who are the particular inspections groups that are resulting in most of these improper videos- a meeting should be held with them to give them a better understanding of what is required – it is not necessarily the company as the whole – rather certain individuals

Epcor also to provide the specifications on what systems they can use to review the recordings and specific specifications and send it to the companies the contractors are using.

Try to get the video companies together to discuss these concerns

Epcor will put some specifications together and UDI will send out.

EPCOR Changes in Management/staff:

David – now in charge of both the drainage and water inspector groups

Water – Robert Brazeau, Robin Peck, Blake Atkinson

Drainage – Matt, Vlad, Students

No longer – Randy, Francisco, William

EPCOR Post Paving Issues

David

Will start doing reviews because of Asphalt inside of manholes and valve casings at the final lift of asphalt after FAC is already completed.

This is not just a developer issues – this is also a City issue where the contractors are doing the same thing on City projects as well

Design Standards

Fernando – CCPA has come up with a fee suggestions and

NF80 and 90? – Ryan – concerns about being able to open up the man holes

Fernando will set up a time to meet – ask Fernando when ?

From COE:

How everyone feels about the design and or construction inspection teams related to service levels now that the separation of EPCOR Drainage and the City has run a few cycles. Any concerns and or things that could be improved? Just looking for any feedback to allow us to provide a more efficient outcome.

Clarence speaking to getting feedback on the current process:

Wade – longer processes in order to get registered plans. Not 100% sure whether it is the city or whether the delay comes from other areas. Wade will have a meeting with David from Epcor and the consultants to see where the process delay may be.

During the application process, why will EPCOR not take the heat plan number without it? It was not an issue previously –EPCOR has advised it was because people are pushing things more this past year – David has advised it is EPCOR team that needs to look into this. Wade will still look into this further and try and get a better understanding of the process. Is causing the delay as per David – they are not getting the information back to the required parties on time.

Clarence Drawings may be of delay - will also look into the city side to see how the drawings might be being impacting the process.

Ryan - First part of the approval takes a little longer – though the 2nd part has been a lot more productive and fruitful with moving forward. Might be a good idea provide feedback, detailed steps so that all parties will understand what the other side might need – from design all the way to approval. Clean up the process to get rid of information might be redundant.

Larry – as with anything new that gets implemented, there are going to be growing phases, just a matter of getting used to it. It is great that Clarence is here at this time to discuss the concerns to make sure things are flowing smoothly.

Do not know who the contacts are in order to get answers as to who should be doing what.

Applications for FACs and CCCs – there is a lot of redundancy.

Others - Inspectors are doing what they can to review in a timely manner – though the amount of documentation required is time consuming – what documents are required and why are they required.

As long as the date is locked and set for dates and making sure they are realistic is important for the inspectors to understand.

Clarence – reminding everyone why it is we are doing what we are doing – hence the creation of the inspection guidelines – to keep everything within a set parameter of guidelines – as opposed to the lack of transparency and consistency that existed in the past.

Others – having a deadline/set schedule allows better scheduling.

Next Meeting – Scheduled in Calendars for June 4, 2019